The 21st Century Cures Act (the “Act”), a sweeping piece of legislation with overwhelming bipartisan support, was signed into law on December 13, 2016. Focused on advancing and accelerating medical research, combating America’s opioid abuse epidemic, and improving mental health care, the 21st Century Cures Act will unquestionably affect patients, healthcare providers, medical researchers, and pharmaceutical and device manufacturers.

This feature explores two sections of the Act that will specifically impact healthcare providers and manufacturers. Healthcare providers will want to take note of the first article, which examines mandates to improve medical care through better access to and interoperability among electronic health records. The second article, which details plans for opening new drug and device development pathways, will be of particular interest to pharmaceutical and device manufacturers.

While these articles discuss only a fraction of the Act’s scope, they clearly convey the message that the Act is “[a]n innovation game-changer, a once-in-a-generation, transformational opportunity to change the way we treat disease.”

INTRODUCTION TO THE CURES ACT

FOCUSED ON ADVANCING AND ACCELERATING MEDICAL RESEARCH, COMBATING AMERICA’S OPIOID ABUSE EPIDEMIC, AND IMPROVING MENTAL HEALTH CARE, THE 21ST CENTURY CURES ACT WILL UNQUESTIONABLY AFFECT PATIENTS, HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS, MEDICAL RESEARCHERS, AND PHARMACEUTICAL AND DEVICE MANUFACTURERS.
THE STAGE IS SET

The 21st Century Cures Act1 (the "Act") is a multifaceted piece of healthcare and life sciences legislation designed to accelerate discovery, development, and delivery of innovative cures and treatments.

Title IV of the Act focuses on the delivery of medical care and includes some notable mandates to improve access and interoperability2 of healthcare information technology (HIT). Sec. 4003(b) establishes new requirements and supports interoperability among disparate electronic health records (EHRs). The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) is the principal federal entity designated to implement and advance HIT and the electronic exchange of health information under the Act.3 Rapidly driving network-to-network interoperability forward, the ONC is directed to convene, within six months of enactment,4 a public-private stakeholder convention to develop a trusted exchange framework for trust policies and practices and a common agreement for use among existing health information networks nationally (i.e., a “network of networks”). The Act ambitiously provides that the trusted exchange framework and common agreement will be established and published within one year5 after the convention of the stakeholders.

The ONC is instructed to work with the National Institute of Standards and Technology and other relevant agencies within HHS to pilot test and provide technical assistance on how to implement the trusted exchange network and common agreement. The Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) shall, through notice and comment rulemaking, establish a process for health information networks that voluntarily elect to adopt the trusted exchange framework and common agreement to attest to such adoption of the framework and agreement.6

A new federal HIT Advisory Committee is established in Section 4003(e)7 to address issues related to achieving an interoperable health technology infrastructure (nationally and locally). The new HIT Advisory Committee is directed to work with private and public stakeholders and make recommendations to the ONC in targeted areas regarding:

- Technology that provides accurate patient information for the correct patient, including exchanging such information, and avoids the duplication of patient records.
- Privacy and security of health information in HIT, including technologies that allow for an accounting of disclosures and protections against disclosures of individually identifiable health information made by a covered entity for purposes of treatment, payment, and healthcare operations pursuant to HIPAA, as well as segmentation and protection from disclosure of specific and sensitive individually identifiable health information.
- The facilitation of secure access by an individual to his/her protected health information, and access to such health information by a family member, caregiver, or guardian acting on behalf of a patient (including due to age-related and other disability, cognitive impairment, or dementia).

SETTING PRIORITIES FOR ADOPTION OF STANDARDS8

The ONC is required to convene the HIT Advisory Committee, not later than six months after the date on which the HIT Advisory Committee first meets, to identify priority uses of HIT and standards and implementation specifications that support such...
uses of HIT,¹ and publish a report of findings and recommendations regarding priorities, focusing on uses of HIT arising from/related to:

- The implementation of incentive programs to promote the adoption and meaningful use of certified EHR technology (CEHRT), the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS), Alternative Payment Models (APMs), the Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program (HVBP), and any other value-based payment program determined appropriate by the HHS Secretary;
- Quality of patient care
- Clinical research
- Privacy and security of electronic health information
- Innovation in the field of HIT
- Patient safety
- Usability of HIT
- Individuals’ access to electronic health information
- Other priorities determined appropriate by the HHS Secretary

Beginning five years after the enactment of the Act, and every three years after that, the ONC must convene stakeholders to review and make recommendations with respect to maintaining or phasing out adopted standards and implementation specifications.

**BAN ON INFORMATION BLOCKING**

Notably, Sec. 4004 of the Act¹⁰ explicitly prohibits “information blocking,” which is defined as a practice that, except as required by law or specified by HHS Secretary rulemaking, is likely to interfere with, prevent, or materially discourage access, exchange, or use of electronic health information; and

- if conducted by a healthcare provider, such provider knows that such practice is unreasonable and likely to interfere with, prevent, or materially discourage access, exchange, or use of electronic health information.

Specific descriptions of “information blocking” practices include:

- Practices that restrict the authorized access, exchange, or use of electronic health information for treatment or other permitted purposes under applicable state/federal law, including transitions between certified HIT systems.
- Implementing HIT in nonstandard ways likely to substantially increase the complexity or burden of accessing, exchanging, or using electronic health information.
- Implementing HIT in ways likely to:
  - restrict access, exchange, or use of electronic health information with respect to exporting complete information sets or in transitioning between HIT systems, or
  - lead to fraud, waste, or abuse, or impede innovations and advancements in health information access, exchange, and use, including care delivery enabled by HIT.

The Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services (OIG) is authorized to investigate information blocking claims. Health-information vendors found to have committed information blocking (including false attestations) will be subject to civil monetary penalties up to $1 million per violation. Health providers determined...
to have committed information blocking will be subject to other “appropriate disincentives,” as the HHS Secretary sets forth through notice and comment rulemaking.

The Cures Act legislation also promotes patient access to secure and up-to-date electronic health information through interoperable health information exchanges. Pursuant to Section 4006, the HHS Secretary is tasked to encourage partnerships among health information exchanges, healthcare providers, and health plans to offer patients access to their electronic information in “a single longitudinal format that is easy to understand, secure, and may be updated automatically.” Further, Section 4006 amends the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH) providing that business associates may directly transmit or grant designee(s) access to an individual’s Protected Health Information (PHI) in response to a request from the individual.10

WHAT NOW?
The 21st Century Cures Act has set the stage for industry-wide interoperability that will modernize and personalize healthcare. The exchange of accurate and complete electronic health information and advanced technology may be leveraged in ways that improve patient care and outcomes, enable patients to access and use their health data to collaborate in their care, advance precision medicine tailored to individual patients, reduce errors, increase efficiency, lower costs, and optimize reimbursements for healthcare providers. The health information technology provisions in the Cures Act should stimulate the rapid advancement of such interoperability and exchange. Once new HHS leadership and essential rulemaking take shape this year, compliance will be the name of the game.

2. The Act defines interoperability with respect to HIT, as technology that:
   • enables the secure exchange of electronic health information with, and use of
     electronic health information from, other health information technology, without
     special effort on the part of the user;
   • allows for complete access, exchange, and use of all electronically accessible health
     information for authorized use under applicable state or federal law; and
   • does not constitute information blocking (as defined in the Act).
3. Sec. 4003(b) (to be codified at 42 U.S.C. § 300jj-11(c)).
4. The 21st Century Cures Act was signed into law on December 13, 2016.
5. While no health information network will be required to adopt the trusted exchange
   framework, federal agencies may require adoption within their networks.
6. See Sec. 4003(c) (to be codified at 42 U.S.C. §300jj).
7. The HIT Advisory Committee combines and replaces the previous HIT Policy Committee
   and the HIT Standards Committee. The new HIT Advisory Committee will consist of at
   least 25 members that consist of individuals who are familiar with the health information
   technology for economic and clinical health act (HITECH). Members must include providers,
   ancillary healthcare workers, consumers, purchasers, health plans, health information
   technology developers, researchers, patients, relevant federal agencies, and individuals
   with technical expertise on healthcare quality, system functions, privacy, security, and
   on the electronic exchange and use of health information, eight of whom shall be appointed
   by Congress, three appointed by the HHS Secretary, and the remainder will be appointed
   by the Comptroller General of the US Government Accountability Office (GAO). See Sec. 4003(e) (to be codified at 42
8. See Sec. 4003(f) (to be codified at 42 U.S.C. § 300jj-13).
9. In identifying such standards and implementation specifications, the HIT Advisory
   Committee must give deference to standards and implementation specifications
   developed by consensus-based standards development organizations in the private
   sector.
10. Sec. 4004 (to be codified at 42 U.S.C. § 300jj-51 et seq.).
11. Sec. 4006 (b) (to be codified at 21 U.S.C. § 17935(e)(2)).
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